

203 - Roxboro Rd SW  
Calgary T2S 0R 2  
April 28<sup>th</sup> 82.

Dear Mr Kennedy,

I guess I'm a fan of yours, I was both a lawyer + 8 year publisher of a successful first Alberta magazine. It's a fine line we must ride.

As a longtime Canadian, I was almost totally ignorant of the world pressures being exerted on us.

At first I believed Trudeau passed without the individual voters acceptance, that constitution on us so he could remain in office by legalizing seizure of western Canada assets, to take the necessary central Canada vote which could assure his election.

Now after two years, so some speeches, I realize there is more than met my nose first purely legal approach.

I would appreciate a chance to talk to you. I realize you must be a busy man. My phone number which is unlisted because I've moved is 243 0115. If possible I would appreciate a call

PS. All this blather about individual's property rights is clearly inane, legally. Ruth Sorman

Dr. Ruth Gorman, O.C., B.A., LL.B.

410, 815-50TH AVENUE S.W.  
CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA  
T2S 1H8

TELEPHONE (403) 253-8686

Dear Peter

I phoned Lee Richards & asked if I could possibly have a summary of the legal significance of the amendments. I need to (1) read the actual wording of the April accord, (2) Have an explanation how the "notwithstanding clause" would operate in say the case where an individual's property is seized by the federal jurisdiction and (3) what actually does the section (4) mean about - "would be included" + "opting out".

He suggested I meet with you but you will have a "full platter" already so that not necessary.

I am of course disappointed we did not get rid of the written constitution, <sup>general</sup> & charter, but I do not want to improperly interpret the new agreement. As you said

Your legal advise + mine differed.  
I am booked to speak to Canadian  
Clubs, Rotaries etc from now till  
Christmas + can also write on it.  
but I do not want to criticize  
you in anyway improperly. You  
have been a wonderful Alberta  
Premier. to date.

Sincerely

Ruth Gorman

PS. I need them as soon as  
possible. I'm afraid the anger  
is still ~~present~~ <sup>growing</sup> + only a provable  
& real advantage of the agreement  
will thwart it.

Dr. Ruth Gorman, O.C., B.A., LL.B.

410, 815-50TH AVENUE S.W.  
CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA  
T2S 1H8  
TELEPHONE (403) 253-8686

Dear Peter

I have just spoken to See Richards. I asked him for some detail explanations of what was accepted by you + the other Premiers. I have the official released ~~one~~ document unnamed + merely dated from Ottawa. I had been elated when I heard your firm opening speech at the meeting of the Premiers + Trudeau, but I was stunned when I heard you had signed the amendments. I am the old lady who toured 27 different towns + cities in Alberta + have now made over 70 speeches with the theme 'There's nothing in this constitution for Alberta but ~~lego~~ now legally enforceable further colonization of the West ~~by~~ by central Canada but you can count on Peter Laughed to get us out of this mess. I succeeded in this to what seemed to me to an amazing degree. Thousand + thousands of proven signatures showing Albertans desiring only at MOST repudiation of the BNA act + possibly a made in Canada ~~by~~ by constitution

which would be given plenty of time for voters to study it & requesting their voted consent. Instead we seem to find ourselves with an unwanted unvoled unnecessary rigid written constitution & instead of the amendable BNA act where the Supreme Court had given certain powers to the provinces & ~~an am~~ We also find ourselves with a most undemocratic rigid Charter of rights in place of our past amendable democratic one. When you people went to Ottawa Trudeau was in bad shape. He really only had a majority of 8 he had obtained by lying to both Ontario (regas tax) & Quebec (bilingualism) Already the Quebec one has backfired on him, he had lost. Two Provincial elections had been won by persons opposing the Constitution & now ones been lost by a premier who signed. He had lost 3 byelections in his safest riding & the Gallup poll was going against him. If you could have delayed it he would never have been re-elected. The Supreme Court found clearly on your side & it agreed with Ford & Kenning's report to the English Parliament so they would have at least stalled.

Dr. Ruth Gorman, O.C., B.A., LL.B.

203 Roxboro Rd. N.  
~~40, 815-50th Avenue S.W.~~  
CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA  
T2S 1H8  
TELEPHONE (403) 253-8686

David Yager  
# 2-5320-89<sup>WSC</sup>  
Edmonton T6E 5P0

Dear Mr. Yager

Read your article on Nov. 1<sup>st</sup>  
of the Roughneck —

I am not Canada's women  
of the century; I'm only Alberta's —  
they never had a woman of the  
century. Were the lone "beats" you  
& your wives? All joking aside  
I would enjoy a copy

Sincerely

Ruth Gorman