

Our stand on property rights

By RUTH GORMAN

W.C.C. Party has made the breakthrough. The overwhelming victory of Gordon Kesler in the Old-Didsbury by-election has had some profound effects.

It made Eastern Canada realize that something lay west of the eastern boundary of Manitoba besides wheat, cattle and oil wells; things they could exploit and continue to force us to sell below world prices, while forcing Westerners to buy Eastern manufactured goods at higher than world prices. The something that lay beyond Manitoba's border was people. They are independent people who came here to acquire land, to establish a future for their families in a free country. These people are now angry. They are losing property rights and personal freedoms.

Kesler's election made the creator of the Constitution, Trudeau, and its supporters, the Liberals, the NDP, Davies of Ontario realize that in our program to the people we support the individual's right to property. Those who rob us of these rights must be stopped. It also made Peter Lougheed, who in the past has given us good government, realize he had to justify his signed consent to the Constitution. His resistance, and that of the other Premiers was enough to have saved us from the Constitution. Trudeau could not safely have taken it to England without the Premiers' consent. Levesque didn't sign, is not separate, is still in Canada, and has not bound Quebec by the Constitution. Other provincial governments were more interested in money and power for government than in protecting the rights of those who elected them. Possibly they were deceived, believing Trudeau's assurances that the people

property rights. First he called us "racists" and "biggots" then through the Globe and Mail his office issued a statement regarding property rights. He now admitted their omission from the Constitution, but maintained they were protected by Section 26 in the Constitution. Our platform was called a lie. Neil Crawford of Alberta's P.C.'s echoed similar statements. The P.C.'s even went so far as to include assertions of safe property rights in their Throne Speech. It had become imperative that W.C.C. be discredited.

The desire to discredit W.C.C.'s stand, taken from my speeches and writings, had as much truth as the Liberal assertion that the increase in the price of gasoline in Ontario would be limited to 18 cents a gallon. How gullible can the public be?

It is essential that you can prove from the Constitution that section 26 offers you NO protection.

What does Section 26 say? I say "The guarantees in this Charter of certain rights and freedoms shall not be construed as denying the existence of any other rights that exist in Canada."

Note the guarantee applies only to "Certain Rights in the Charter." Property rights are NOT in the Charter. They are not guaranteed at all. It says property rights "Existence" will not be denied. Remove the double negative and you will see it only means their existence only, will be recognized.

Note that nothing is said about your ability to enforce those rights, and what good are existing but unenforceable rights?

By the Constitution, and as in the custom now, you can only enforce your exclusive right to property in court. When in court you try to defend your

Property rights are not in the Charter, they are therefore inconsistent and of no force or effect.

In any statute, any one section can be reduced or limited in a following section. Thus, Section 51 follows after Section 26. For instance, a statute might say in Section 2 that all cars in Alberta can travel at 60 miles per hour. But if Section 4 following in the same statute says, in a hospital zone all cars must travel 30 miles an hour, you know what will happen if you drive 60 miles an hour in a hospital zone. The same thing happens when you try to enforce your past existing rights. Those past laws existence will not be denied, but if you were trying to enforce your past rights against any other citizen who also has past rights, the old law would be enforceable between you. But if you were trying to enforce them against someone who had a stronger claim, then your property laws, omitted from the Constitution, are of no force or effect, and you cannot stop the person who has the stronger claim.

Under the Constitution, the governments, both federal and provincial, have made certain that they have a superior claim on your property. A claim that is within the Constitution is supreme and has "force and effect" against your old rights whose "existence" have no guarantee and no enforceability, only a recognition.

The governments can seize your property for their own use by virtue of the Section now numbered 36 in the eighth and we hope final copy of the Constitution. In that section, the governments, Provincial and Federal, are jointly committed under Section 36 (1) (a) to promote equal opportunities for the well being of Canadians. Note how wide this section is. It can include

order in council. By the time the individual finds out they are doing that, it will be too late, and anyway he has no rights or defense against it.

The governments can do it to anyone of their choice, at a time of their choice. Their control is over all your property, whether it is your home, farm, store, mine, oil lease, car, or the coat on your back. By the constitution, the governments powers, both Provincial and Federal, are increased to where you as an individual are left powerless.

Western Canada Concept is right in their stand against the Constitution. We must all band together to politically fight it. It's the only way left and we must have an "All Western Party" dedicated to preserving Canada's past rights and freedoms, of which the Ontario backed Constitution robs us.

It is almost impossible to just amend the constitution. Any amendment requires first, the consent of Ottawa and the Senate, and if anyone thinks they are going to voluntarily vote away their source of power and income, they belong in the never never world with the Trudeau worshippers and the "one worlders."

It will require firm political action to steer our way through the difficult times ahead. Do not let Trudeau, the Liberals, the N.D.P.'s or Provincial legislators tell you the lies that your property rights are adequately protected. Tell everyone to read your constitution, it is all there.

It is time we took back our government from politicians and gave it to the people where it belongs. Politicians have forgotten that. They are so busy voting themselves increased wages and increased powers, they have forgotten the people who elected them. The West will have to lead the way,

Trudeau's reasoning is that the people still had property rights. If so, it was a great error.

Freedom and property are basic rights. In property, even animals have territorial imperatives and fight to preserve them. Defence of property rights which W.C.C. undertook in their fight against a Constitution that would rob us of them, has great appeal.

Following the election of Gordon Kesler, Trudeau realized he must attempt to kill the universal appeal on

When in court you try to defend your ancient rights by pleading that Section 26 recognizes they still exist, the Judge will say, but is there any restriction on enforcing your rights in the Constitution. There he will find restrictions in Section 51 in the Constitution. Neither Broadbent or Trudeau ever mentioned this sneaky section. It reads:

"The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the Charter is of no force or effect."

on public support or together with innovative educators set your goal and make your plans to create a new private school to fill a growing need. I believe that your child would learn to use 90% of his mental ability rather than the 10% that he now uses if our conventional educational system would be forced to disappear. Consider these tips that may be helpful when you are planning with your child, his future education.

Shop around for alternative education. Find educator-entrepreneurs who believe in free enterprise and who will teach youth that only the truly enterprising are truly free. Look for an educator who believes that your child has unlimited potential.

The ideal educational experience, will blend academic learning and entrepreneurial experience which fosters calculated risk-taking over false security-trappings.

When you find, or have found, a school be sure to recognize how the goals of the school will feed the dreams of your child.

wide this section is. It can include anything including the use of property for its revenue. Your property can be used to reduce government expenses; under the guise of "For the benefit of Canada." The individual has no defense against it. It enables both governments to "Wheel and Deal" with your property as they see fit. Your property rights has only recognized existence, without any "force or effect."

True, to enforce it, the Government will be required to pass a bill or an

Refuse to accept the teacher's, principal's or school board's words as gospel if they say your child can't achieve this or that.

Agressively, but politely, seek second opinions - and go beyond the present conventional school system where most educators may well be biased.

Beware of "negative", "conformity" and "mediocrity". Encourage your child to dare to be different. Learn about "free enterprise" yourself and teach your child for the majority of educators know nothing about "free enterprise", and they are dreadfully afraid of selling - only because they misunderstand it. Our conventional education system failed them in this respect.

You must seek opportunity where you can become an entrepreneur yourself for a low guaranteed investment, then pursue excellence as an entrepreneur and your child will become a high achiever as he follows your footsteps to freedom.

Finally and most important, teach your child that he is a unique human being created in the image and likeness of God. Teach your child to dream big and

The West will have to lead the way, and an All Western Party, I hope can do it.

I tried very hard to defeat the constitution through the Governments themselves taking action, but determinedly the Governments have forced it on us. We were never allowed to vote on it, as it was concealed when they were elected.

We must now find a united political voice for the West. It's our one hope left or just say good-bye property rights.

tell him that he was destined to be prosperous himself so that he could help others become likewise. Teach your child to love God first, family second and country third and teach him that before he can love another he must develop self-love and foster a positive mental attitude at all times.

I feel that I have only "scratched the surface" on this timely issue. However I hope that you are motivated to prove me incorrect in my assumptions or join in the crusade to preserve our ultimate freedoms. Focus on the fact that your child will be a leader in the twenty-first century in a country where free enterprise will prevail and in fact spread to every corner of the world. The only alternative is Communism!

I invite you to read LEADS, the monthly newsletter that focuses on the evolving leadership evident in the fast-growing free enterprise movement - multi-level sales and marketing - which offers unique entrepreneurial opportunity for everyone whether you are under 18, over 65, or somewhere in between.

I invite you to forward your creative ideas on how LEADS could be effectively used as a teaching tool to foster entrepreneurship. For complete details of subscription fees forward an self-addressed, stamped envelope to: LEADS, P.O. BOX 9333, OTTAWA

Here are some provocative prescriptions for the education of your child - tomorrow's leader.

School teachers with a blatant negative attitude toward free enterprise and entrepreneurial experiences for youth should be treated with about the same degree of trust as confessed socialists. Whatever they teach, consider how it will mould the thinking of your child - a potential high achieving entrepreneur.

A conventional school system supported by taxes is like a war - you should seek alternatives to keep your child out of it. There is "conformity to mediocrity" and "fostering of failure" in conventional schools that your child won't be exposed to if he doesn't go there. Spreaders of negative conditioning are peers, parents, teachers and administrators who out of fear and habit neglect to consistently promote the positive and deny the obvious failing of punishment.

To protect your child from under achieving, which fosters a low self-image, you must seek alternative education for your child in an already existing private school which is not dependent