

meetings

an executive of five
must be held at
a general meeting to elect the policy
party leader - the same rules shall apply
as for the election of the president & board
except at this meeting beside voting
WVC delegates from the constituencies
members of the legislative
party members
or nominees for
election may
stand
vote too

Meetings of the political party

General meeting

General - the party shall hold an
annual convention. ~~Party~~ where they
elect the president, & the board, and
where the constitution will be amended.
responsibility for the place ^{choosing} & time ^{of holding} & the
notice of this meeting ^{which} must be
given to all constituency heads, shall
be the responsibility of the past
elected board. All members ^{of the party} may attend this

meeting
meeting

but only voting delegates from the constituencies
may vote. The decision of the party leader shall be either
annually by the board the place ^{of holding} & time ^{of holding}
of a policy meeting or at a
special

time

notice ^{of 2 weeks} to constituency president
will be the responsibility of the board
this meeting ^{must contain} for consideration
of ^{the meeting will be held} making future policy ^{of the party by}
amendments or additions to the present
accepted policy statement but will
must also at this time review &
vote on the advisability preparation
the advisability and the possibility
of ⁱⁿ manner in which the party
for the good of Alberta will consider
the advisability of asking the
constituent people of Alberta by either
a special referendum, or by vote
to implement the economic
independence of Alberta. All members

notice
to 2 weeks
or

if it is beneficial for the good of Alberta will consider
the advisability of asking the
constituent people of Alberta by either
a special referendum, or by vote
to implement the economic
independence of Alberta. All members

General meetings may if the board so chooses for efficiency & economy be conducted at one & the same time. provided full notice & disclosures of all resolutions included in the business to be transacted by the board.

Consolidated meeting
Board meeting
constituent meeting

may attend but only voting delegates ^{a policy meeting} carrying out the instructions from the board. The board may vote on instructions from the board. ^{as may} members of legislative members or nominees for legislative members also may vote at the board of directors ^{at a special meeting} with elected voting representatives from the constituency. At this meeting the board will ^{review} hear reports from each constituency, review complaints or suggestions, & at that time the constituents voting representatives may vote with the board on these matters. ~~The board will~~

The board of directors must hold a board meeting every ^{two} month ~~when~~ ~~at~~ the ~~4~~ general meeting ~~to~~ to formulate the operation & administration of the political party. At that meeting ^{if these can if convenient coincide with the general meeting of the constituency} the leader of the party or his appointee will be ~~ex-officio~~ a voting member at all board meetings. All other members except the ~~to~~ president the board of directors ~~and~~ ~~the~~ will be excluded from board meetings. ^{However} ~~Unless~~ ^{legislation that makes these} ~~Complaints or requests~~ ^{from constituents or members} will be received by the board & dealt with at this time. ~~The minutes of the~~

^{including decisions} The minutes of all board meetings ^{including} ~~will~~ ^{will} be sent to the constituency ^{in a manner acceptable by the board} presidents who must read them ^{including} ~~will~~ ^{will} be sent to the constituency presidents who must read them

the present

at the next constituency meeting and ~~will be available upon application~~

An ~~extraordinary~~ ^{special} extraordinary meeting ^{of the party as directed from a resolution of the national council} may be called by the board on short notice to conduct any emergency or necessary meeting of the board. + at such a meeting ^{all} delegates from the constituency ^{or the party} must be notified ^{and} ^{may} be present ^{at the meeting}.

If the board fails to call ^{any party or constituency} these meetings a signed request by 20 constituency ^{presidents} can serve as notice of a meeting in the absence of the boards calling the meeting.

Only ~~official~~ ^{elected} voting delegates from board members, and the officially elected delegates from the constituency or the party leader or his appointee may vote at any of the party's annual meeting, ^{election of president or policy at the} election of president or policy ^{at} the two constituency meetings.

The voting delegates must have ~~been~~ prepared to produce their written credentials + directions if so requested from their constituency association they represent.

^{5 voting delegates} Each constituency can appoint ^{additional 100 or fraction over} 5 voting delegates for every 50 members. In all cases the vote will be by ^{two-thirds} majority + if a tie will be repeated until a clear majority is established.

or the president may cast an extra deciding
vote if the tie can not be broken after 3
votes have been called for

A resolution concerning a change in
party leadership, boards' ^{membership or satisfaction will} actions, or ^{boards}
change of policy from a constituency ⁶⁷ problem
where ^{great confusion} an which they ^{direction of} desire confirmation
or ^{when a few} ~~action~~ from the board, must be
in writing indicating ^{such as received} that the ^{by the}
constituency have voted on it ^{at} ~~at~~ board at
least two days before the meeting.
~~A period will be set aside however~~
to conv with the constituencies

Resolutions from the floor ^{may be made by} will only
be heard if the meeting as a whole
~~voted~~ ^{to hear them & vote on them} &
if they have been submitted in writing
to the chairman

~~There is~~ ^{received from the constituencies}
Resolutions ^{of the board} may be amended from
the floor & voted on, but no new
business may be introduced at a
general meeting from the floor.

Following each general meeting
there will be a special time allotted
where board members may meet
with ~~those who feel~~ ^{individuals who} ~~to~~ privately & review

individual members called
the future business for future
concern meeting. Closed to the
public the board will meet
with any concerned individual
who feels he has a special
problem the party concerned
should be concerned about.
If it is a At the boards
discussion they may or may
not ~~draft~~ consider it at their
next board meeting.

~~Canada is now in its third stage~~

Canada's constitution is now nearly
a completed fact. ~~It is only a~~

~~a little over a year since Iudean~~

~~Mr Christian~~ ~~The justice minister~~

~~Christian has filed his~~ labeled in

The liberal minister of justice Jean

Chretien has ~~now~~ filed in our house

of commons ~~the~~ ^{his} motion to pass it

+ make it ~~the~~ as it says in ^{all} section 52 the supreme

What a farce it has been.

law of Canada
and ~~which~~ ^{constitutional}
overrides our
past quarter
of property
rights

Canada is one of the loveliest

and most blessed countries in

the world. It had everything.

We are of necessity a country

made up of immigrants or

Descendants of immigrants. What an
exciting fact that is! Our ancestors ^{we or} ~~or~~ ^{all}
~~we came to~~ ^{had} all ~~had~~ ^{bravely} left our ~~past~~ ^{former}
countries and bravely set out seeking
a new & beautiful land. ~~The people~~
~~of Canada have all come here seeking~~
~~a better land, and they found it.~~
~~where an individual~~ ^{Immigrants} ~~they~~ ^{they all} came seeking for
individual freedom ^{& for} a chance to acquire
land, and ~~live in peace~~, a place to raise
their children in the peace of a democracy.
~~We did too~~
Most of us have found just that.
Now suddenly our land is a broken
land. In ~~one lifetime~~ and the very freedom
~~things~~ we sought, freedom of air

vanishing before our eyes. Since Pierre Elliott

Furdeau flashed across the political scene there has been nothing but dissention & unhappiness in this land.

First of all it was ^{we people who of the belle} Ducee ^{people who cover} who now it is the four Western provinces ^{and now it is} ~~to~~ ^{now} ~~to~~ 8 provinces ^{we are engaged torn apart.} ~~but are opposing~~

~~him~~. With cold deliberation he has torn up this land. Now with a

political document he ^{miss} names a constitution he hopes to ^{finally} ~~take~~ rob

^{open} from all Canadians ^{of} ~~the~~ ^{our} ~~their~~ ^{where we could} ~~an~~ ^{our} ~~ancient~~ dream of a peaceful land, enjoying individual freedom ^{could} ~~acquire~~ land and live in peace and security. ^{we all} ~~The dream that~~ came here to

~~It's like a nightmare, find.~~ He has turned our dreams into a nightmare. ^{has} It happened in other countries before, Napoleon almost destroyed France with his ambitions & Hitler Germany.

But the villain of the piece is not ^{only} really Furdeau he is ^{only} the small ^{disobedient & useful} ~~mischief~~ ^{has been allowed to} boy who threw the ^{match} match into the orderly pile of firewood ^{we had collected} & thereby ~~burned~~ ^{burned} down our home - our Canada.

started the fire that ^{is now burning} ~~burnt~~ ^{is now} down our
home, our Canada. The chief villain ~~has~~ ^{are}
been Ontario & Quebec the ~~too~~ ^{too} powerful
central areas of Canada. For too long
they ^{have} ignored the rest of Canada. ^{the country} ~~the~~
see-sawing between themselves for
power and its ^{resulting} financial gains
they ignored the ~~western~~ ^{other regions} provinces completely.
① Their attitude towards the West
has never changed since ^{the days} they brought
out ^{cheap} glass beads & look back ^{the west} with
furs. ~~It was on that~~ ~~trade~~
that ^{the fur} their big cities of Montreal &
Toronto wealth was ^{originally} founded on that.
That policy of simply treating
the west as Colonials has never
changed with the changing times.
Fudeau has managed to play on
this fact to deceive even them & ~~now~~
~~is now~~ ^{destroying even} ~~their~~ ^{cheer} democratic dream
country into ~~one~~ ^{one} that is
~~not~~ ^{it is} by ~~in~~ a so called "constitution"
~~It is~~ ^{It is} not a constitution at all
It's a political maneuver for power.
Can you seriously believe that a
democratic constitution would
take away individuals either ^{strength}
separately or collectively property
rights? ~~Can~~ By omission it's done
just that. Can you imagine a

democratic constitution that does not provide for the establishment of a court ~~supreme~~ that where an individual's rights can be protected? Can you imagine a democratic constitution that ^{denies 8 out of 10 provinces the right to a voice in} ~~does not~~ provide that each voter ^{in the affairs of the nation} ~~in each~~ ^{in the} country no matter what region he lives in will ^{be deprived or} ~~not~~ ^{be assured} ^{equal} representation ^{in appointing his laws} ~~with another~~ ^{that govern for one a constitution that} ~~our~~ ^{the} ~~probs~~ ^{the} ~~of us of the little past~~ ^{the} ~~regional voice we had.~~ ^{the} ~~It does not~~ provide for an elected senate to represent each region + by eliminating the BNA act ^{as part of our constitution it} ~~robs 8 provinces out~~ of 10 in Canada in having an effective voice in making the laws that ^{will} govern them. Can you imagine a democratic constitution that actually provides for punishment for ^{mere} "apprehended insurrection". ~~Not for treason~~ ^{made into a} ~~merit~~ ^{des} ~~but~~ a constitution that provides for a means in a democratic country of punishing political opposition at the mere whim of ~~a party~~ a political party that is in power.

~~Our~~
Our friendly neighbour the United

that instead
in each region will be equally
effective as an other ^{region} ~~part~~ of Canada

George Shelton
Shelton

Michael Shappott organizing something

Reserved on charter company

Don't know but early next week

Something within late ~~market~~ or early April

Tues
Apr. 11th

246-8607

6

J. Hawkes

states constitution provides for all those safeguards for an individuals freedoms. We had them ^{in the past} in our different form of government ^(legis) but now we are to actually lose them by a so called constitution. This constitution is in no way one a democratic country can accept & hope to keep its freedoms. Can you in you ~~These~~ worst nightmares believe

that a democratic country can acquire a constitution that the voter in the country has never ^{unprecedented heard of until after an election} been allowed to vote on all the polls, ^{Fudeau says what was not even a} that has elected ~~Opposition~~ was denied & that + even a future vote has been denied. In Vancouver Fudeau simply pos. pushed ~~well~~ a suggestion & calmly said he had no intention of calling an election. ^{on it} Can you imagine a democratic constitution that is passed by one political party who got elected in one election ^{by the voters from only two provinces} that will govern ^{binding on you} be your ^{you called it} enshrined legal law for the next 300 years. which will be what it will be for all of Absent Canadians.
It's not a constitution at all.

Yet parliament is right now voting
it in. Quebec was tricked into voting for
it by Trudeau ^{consulting legal depts} ~~advising them~~ the
^{of their democratic rights -}
constitution. I quote from his own
speech - "We want to entrenched in the
constitution since Quebec will have a
vote the fact that this country will be
bilingual from sea to sea." So bendy
Trudeau signed & agreed to vote away
its freedoms. He tricked Ontario by ^{a really democratic}
making them a promise of 18 cents
per gallon gas ^{that} he would get for them
by depriving Alberta of its rightful
resource revenue. Both ^{of these} ^{make} those political
promises he has actually ~~so~~ placed
in what he calls ^{his} constitution
that ~~to~~ govern our children
children. It's not a constitution
at all its a political trick for
Trudeau to ~~gain~~ get money to
cover up his disgraceful ~~deficit~~
wild ^{careless} spending that has landed
us ^{only} ^{into} ~~in~~ a terrible debt. It's also a
document to enable him to buy
future votes so he can ^{stay in power} ~~get elected~~.
This will be ^{gone by} By incorporating ^{into} ^{constitution an}
called equalization ^{clause} funds where
his Ottawa government can ~~on~~
the excuse he calls equalization

He says
we have
lost our
property rights
& our law courts

as it says ~~allow~~ can act by
in the constitution. By that section

act. (1) ~~he can~~ I quote from the actual
or (2) further economic developments to reduce
disparities in opportunities

These are as Trudeau ^{no public admitted} has ~~said~~ his great
"discretionary powers, that little man
Salonde couldn't refrain from boasting
either ^{na} & has come right out & said " We
won't have to worry about Albulas
cut back in oil once we get the constitution
& he's gone further & said we won't
have to have long court cases either.
~~his paper it will be if passed "the supreme law of Canada"~~

By that section ^{the equalization sect.} in our constitution
Trudeau will be given the exclusive
power to ~~use~~ ^{steal} any provinces resources
- ~~now that we will have been~~
^{because the same constitution has robbed us}

^{can grant any provinces property or property}
~~deprived of our property rights, he~~
to pay his debts, to use it as ~~sub~~
~~force~~ for the only votes he
needs to get elected the 95 ^{seas} from
Ontario. The four western provinces
with only 80 votes divided among
them will be powerless to even
elect a government, ~~but yet~~
~~elected by using their property~~
& the revenue from it to literally
"buy" an election. ~~Even editors~~
~~who had been~~ As the editorial

in the Calgary Herald ^{editorial} said if this is the purpose ^{of the constitution} it is to be used for us a serious matter. All sincere democratic Canadians had better realize what is about to happen to them if this constitution which takes away our past ^{promised} rights & ^{ones} provides a political party with clout becomes law. ~~It is in that process right now.~~

What can we do to save our dream of having a free democratic country called Canada? ~~We are~~ It depends on just how sincere Canadians are. In the West we have four strong united, properly elected premiers who have shown their determination to oppose the passage of this constitution that spells the West's financial downfall.

~~It won't matter~~ ^{Fundamentally} ~~he is~~ ^{the West, nevertheless} ~~right now~~ equalizing away ^{our} oil, ~~he plans~~ ^{are laid down} for doing it will ~~Wesley~~ ^{press} ~~wheel~~ ^{There will be no property} ~~be in the~~ ^{repairs we} ~~HAP~~ ^{have lost} program. ~~It~~ ^{valley} ~~won't~~ ^{in the future} ~~matter~~ ^{lands} if we in the West find gold in every acre of land, it won't matter how hard we work, if this constitution ~~goes on~~ ^{becomes} ~~we will ^{we will} by law have to surrender ^{all profits} it to Ottawa to~~

pay their vast debts, & to buy for them
supporting votes in Ontario & Quebec.
The constitution is not a constitution
at all its merely a political manouever
to legalize the future poverty of Western
Canada & ensure Ottawa's power.

Our one hope is the strength of
our four western premiers. Denied a
chance to vote ^{on this} they are our only
elected and proper voice. ^{consent} Get the West
^{should be} behind them, ^{we must} tell them of a ^{actually} ~~poor~~ our
determination to keep Canada
West at least a truly democratic
country. A land that ^{can allow us to} fulfills
the dreams we ^{& our ances} came here
looking for. ~~The dreams we hoped~~
~~our children would~~. The dreams we
all came here to fulfill for ourselves
& our childrens democratic future
Its now or never. The constitution
is ~~in the final process of moving~~
toward completion & ^{becomes Canada's supreme} unchangeable
law right now.

The colt was a blessed-bred
It ~~was~~ was god-given, the speed to
outrun a horse & the strength to
knock out a man with one blow
from its powerful legs. Yet despite
that today its almost extinct &
must be preserved behind wires in

a game preserve or bars in the cages of a zoo
 The osluch had one fateful habit it
 developed itself + never learnt to outgrow
 When danger approaches it hides its
 head in the sand working on the theory
 if it can't see its enemy they can't
 see him. That's why the osluch is
 almost extinct. ^{For man} ~~Canada~~ Western
 Canadians are refusing to ^{look up} ~~face up~~
 to their enemy that is taking from
 them their ^{only} ~~political~~ democratic rights
 + their chance for a financial
 future. ~~It's~~

It's time for the West to quit ^{burying their head} ~~dreaming~~
^{in the sand}
^{Time for us} face up to what is actually about
 to happen to ^{us} ~~you~~ if this ^{so called} ~~constitution~~
^{passes} + ~~to something about it.~~

^{in their} We like the osluch are in reality
^{four} ~~very~~ strong + able provinces. We
 don't have to become almost ^{financially} ~~extinct~~
 unless we choose to be.

1

A threat of financial disaster is sneaking up on all of us who live in the four Western Provinces. This disaster will be more serious than the past two wars or the drought & depression ^{we} faced in the past. ~~That could be fought & passed with time.~~ This new threat of financial disaster will be permanent & unchangeable & we will even be barred from finding a way ^{to} ~~out~~ ^{out} it. It is almost realized the new proposed constitution is in the process of being passed in Ottawa. ^{In} ~~by~~ that constitution is spelled out the financial downfall of the West. The vast progress we have made in Alberta in our brief 75 years

It can't be stopped by law or vote in the courts.

2

will tend to a permanent stop.

In past articles I have written of ~~our~~ the individuals serious loss of basic rights, the rights to ~~apart~~ ~~possession~~ a supreme court system but is ^{government} above ~~govt~~, the right to a form of regional representation at least as we had ^{previously} under the BNA act, the right to freedom from ~~political~~ ~~imprisonment~~ harassment because of political differences that could be considered as "apprehensive insurrection," and that most important of all rights the right ~~to~~ ^{the right of} the individual or

a collection of individuals ^{in a province} to
^{retain} have the right we previously had
in our Canadian Bill of Rights to
the ~~free~~ ^{free} enjoyment of ~~our~~ property
without ~~danger~~ ~~except~~ from loss
except ~~by due process of law~~."

The loss of these individual ^{democratic} rights
^{by a constitution} will change the whole nature of
Canada from its present democratic
^{confederation} ~~state~~ ^{power} to a totalitarian centralized state

Peter Lougheed has warned you
you ~~will be second~~ ^{that you} in the West
will be "second class citizens". I
go further & say without your
democratic rights you will be

also

✓ frightened + unhappy second
rate citizens but there is even
a worse fate in store for westerners
if this constitution passes +
become the entrenched unalterable
law of Canada, you will ^{then} be
unhappy second rate citizens but
^{ones} who are actually ^{financially} poor!

The permanent poverty of the
Western provinces is carefully sketched
out in this constitution.

Why do you suppose they
deprived you of ~~the~~ your
property rights? ^{real} ✓ Democratic rights
has been fought for + retained for

Over 900 years since Magna Charta
In Canada two batches of young
men marched off to war were
killed defending our right to our
own land. Yet Trudeau dares by
just omitting ~~of this~~ ^{of this} right, to
now take it from you. How & why
has he done it?

Think! — What is the most valuable
asset the four Western provinces of
Canada have? It is our wonderful
land. ~~is~~ ^{derived from} the wheat & cattle that
thrive above it the oil & minerals
that hide beneath it. It was for
this reason ^{our ancestors} people suffered hardship
but ~~came here to acquire to find~~ ^{west} ~~to find~~
while 75 years of extremely hard
work have at last realized a
measure of prosperity.

That is why Trudeau under the
pretense of passing an unneeded
constitution is now depriving
you & your provinces of the ^{only}
valuable asset we have. ^{our property, our land} He needs
our ^{present} revenue ~~of it~~ to pay the vast
debts he has accumulated & to
buy ^{future} votes that will assure he
can stay in power.

It's all spelt out in black & white

in the final document that for the minister
of justice Cleghorn has filed to be voted
on. In it are no property rights, for
individuals or provinces. If you realize
property rights include unless specifically
separated both real & personal, - that is
land & the coat on your back & the
money in your wallet.

Previously I explained how by the
"trick" section 24 he removed your past
protection of property established in
many statutes & in common law in our
courts of law. To confuse the electorate
he has now attached that section to
Indian rights & has replaced it with
a new section. Reworded it says - our
past rights will not be lost by the
passing of the constitution provided
they are the guaranteed rights. Property
right is ^{more} not guaranteed in fact the
guarantee was deliberately omitted, so
now the federal foot ~~is~~ is given as
Mr Lalonde recently ^{in his message} admitted that the
constitution will give Ottawa power
over our oil & virtually our land
its wheat - ~~its own rights~~ He ^{has now} said
it. I've been trying to interpret this
^{very} power given in the constitution
Premier Blakeney finally refused
to endorse the constitution because

in the final document that for the minister
of justice Cleburn has filed to be voted
on. In it are ^{will} no property rights, for
individuals or provinces & you realize
property rights include unless specifically
separated, both real ^{property} & personal ^{property} ~~to the~~
land & the coat on your back, the
money in your wallet.

Previously I explained how by the
"trick" section 24 he removed your past
protection of property ^{real estate} established in
many statutes & in common law in our
courts of law. To confuse the ^{comp. parliament} ~~electorate~~
he has now attached that section to
Indian rights & has replaced it ^{with}
a new section ²⁶ provided ^{it} says ^{in specific} our
past rights will not be lost by the
passing of the constitution provided
they are the guaranteed rights. Property
right is not guaranteed in fact. The

guarantee was deliberately omitted, so
now the federal govt ^{can} ~~is~~ ^{as that white man} ~~is~~ ^{is given} ~~is~~ ^{as}

Mr Lalonde recently admitted ^{in the press} that the
constitution will give Ottawa power
over our oil & eventually our land
its wheat & its ownership. He said
it. I've been trying to interpret this
power given in the constitution.
Premier Blaney ^{has said} finally refused
to endorse the constitution because

political domination, freedom from
punishment for ~~only suspected~~
political opinion that can now
be called suspected insurrection but
for most ~~to~~ gone are the individual
or collective individuals guarantee
to free possession or ownership of
your land.

I

The proposed new Canadian
constitution is I believe the most
serious threat to our individual
freedoms and our financial future
that we have ever been called upon
to face. I believe if Trudeau can ~~succeed~~
in successfully forcing this constitution
onto reluctant Canadians, he will
have slammed the door shut on any
real ^{future} financial progress ~~the~~ ^{future} Canadians
~~in~~ ^{of} the West ~~may~~ ^{can} make. The constitution
is the key. If he can turn it, the
West will be forever locked in. ~~as~~ ^{then}
~~what second class~~ ~~what~~ Peter Soughead
has told you, ^{you will be locked in} ~~as~~ ^{mere} second class

Realize

citizens. You will be second class
Canadians lacking even basic democratic
rights so ^{you} will ^{also} be frightened abused
second class citizens. I believe you will
even be actually poor second class
citizens, deprived of some of your
present prosperity and ~~wealth~~ ^{the vast future prospect}
~~wealth~~ ^{group will} have been legally, by law, seriously
cupped for ~~hundreds of years~~ ^{for}. It will
all be done by the ~~so-called~~ ^{a constitution that}
constitution, ~~that is~~ ^{at} at this
very moment being foisted upon you.

It seems like a bad nightmare
- an unreal impossible thing, something
you hope will just be gone by
tomorrow. Unfortunately its a harsh
reality.

You must wonder how could this
happen in our lovely land of Canada? A land
that has always been one of the
great democracies of the world - a free
and rich land. I don't guess at ^{to} how you
will lose these rights. In past articles
I have even given you the sections of
the constitution where it tells you
in black & white ^{how} you will lose them.
The losses are many but the most
vital one is your loss of property
rights. For 900 years since Magna

you have
had this
right.

Charter which said "Every individual must be permanently secured in the free enjoyment of his life + property" ~~That property right~~ ^{now} the guarantee for ~~the~~ ^{property right} individuals or the provinces ^{Canada's} has been deliberately omitted from ~~the~~ ^{the} new constitution. This is no mere omission it is deliberate as various questions by the three political parties have revealed ~~determined~~. Mr Trudeau could not assure the premier of ^{Bleatney} ~~Saskatchewan~~ that they would be returned & the N.O.P. party has split ^{east + west} over this section. There are no guaranteed property rights in the charter or the constitution, ~~at all~~. You have lost them. Canada ^{in the past had} has however many through their common law court reaffirmation of that ancient ^{900 year old} right to property and in the courts & it also has many statutes guarantee them; including Mr Diefenbecker's charter of Rights which ~~was the~~ ^{now law in} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~property~~ ^{rights} basis for Canada's ~~bill of Rights~~ ^{the} ~~over~~ ^{was passed} 10 years ago. ~~which gave~~ ^{it} specifically guaranteed ~~gave~~ individuals a right to the "enjoyment of property right except through loss by due process of law." But those ~~too~~

protections
 are ~~still~~ ^{now} wiped out by the "trick" section
 in the constitution ^{that is} as I call it, section 25. The constitutional
 committee's only recommendation ^{after their session} was to
 toughen up that section not remove
 it. That's ~~the~~ ^{the} section 25 ~~which says~~
 & now ^{is} the constitution of Canada is the
 supreme law of Canada and any law
 that is inconsistent with the provisions
 of the constitution, is to the extent of
 that inconsistency OF NO FORCE OR
 EFFECT. Nowhere in the charter or the
 constitution is the ^{guaranteed} right ^{of persons or provinces} to hold ^{securely}
~~on~~ ~~or~~ ~~for~~ ~~your~~ ~~provinces~~ ^{to hold} ~~their~~ ~~own~~ ~~land~~ ~~property~~ ~~property~~ ^{their own}
~~land~~ ~~property~~ ~~property~~ ^{property} ~~securely~~ ^{property}

What is the most valuable
 thing the west has? Its wealth all
 stems from its rich land. The
 wheat ^{& timber} that grows on it, the ~~timber~~
 the ^{livestock} ~~cattle~~ dependant on it, the
 rich oil & minerals that lay under
 it. This is why our immigrating
 parents came here. They came to get a
 share in that land ^{to have} the democratic
 right to acquire it. ^{& keep it.} ~~They~~ ^{all} have
 worked hard to develop it. Now
 that the dividends are just
 beginning to pay off & Westerners
 have prospered. By ^{this} ~~a~~ constitution
 they are about to loose ~~them~~
 all all.

The removal of property rights was deliberate. It is to legalize the robbery slowly + whenever necessary of the West's ^{only to date} ~~provable~~ ^{to prove} great asset ^{we regard our property this now} it is to be taken to assure the perpetration of power for the ^{central} government at Ottawa ^{to pay} ~~to~~ pay off ~~the~~ ^{its} vast debts and to buy the votes ^{to} to keep them in power.

By section ⁽³¹⁾ ~~31~~ called Equalization ^{section} ~~section~~ ~~31~~ of the constitution the government ^{at} Ottawa ~~has~~ will have given themselves that power. Power ~~to~~ "on ~~the~~ a new legalized excuse they ^{can} ~~do~~ as it says ^{so} in the proposed constitution ^{the will be the supreme law of Canada, from this} on the grounds of ~~it can do this, because it says~~ "promoting equal opportunities" or "furthering" "economic development to reduce disparity of opportunities" ^{By this} they can whenever they want ^{the} raid ^{the} the Western provinces ^{or persons} land or ~~the~~ revenue from it. The drain + loss of our one vast asset the lands + its revenue will be sporadic and subtle, but unfortunately now legal, and continuous.

It wouldn't matter in the future if Gold was discovered under half the averages in ^{all} ^{four} the provinces of

6

Alberta, BC, Saskatchewan & Manitoba
or the far north. ~~We~~ ^{None of us} will ~~not~~ be
allowed to retain it^{or} to gain from it.
Neither we, nor the provinces have
any rights to hang onto either the land
or its revenue. We have lost them.

Already the raid is on in preparation
for when this becomes law. ~~is~~ ^{a raid on} our
oil rights under a so called Energy
policy & now the way is being
prepared for ~~our~~ ^{the} raid on our
wheat with a new plan called

Not only will we be second class
citizens in Canada we ~~will be~~
financially because we have ~~lost~~ ^{legally} our
democratic rights to land, but we
will be condemned to comparative
poverty for a long time. We in
the West have been barred legally
to be allowed to even amend or
alter this "Supreme Law of Canada"
which ~~will~~ is designed to
rob us of our present wealth
and any future wealth we may
acquire.

You may hopefully say to yourself
but these new powers given to
Ottawa applies to every Canadian
We in the West will ~~also~~ benefit
& the ~~East~~ ^{East} equally benefit or lose. In theory
that is how it should operate but in practical application

it won't

But you are forgetting these ^{new} powers given Ottawa are discriminatory. It is they who will decide when they think that an "opportunity" should be promoted, and which areas should be "equalized", by simply ~~losing~~ ^{taking} ~~from an~~ ^{them} ~~once individually owned~~ ^{their} lands & its revenue. In theory that ~~how it should operate~~. But in reality it won't.

Ontario elects 95 members to our federal parliament, Quebec elects 75 but the four Western provinces, even if they voted in a union can only elect 80. As long as Ottawa can keep ^{the provinces in} Ontario & Quebec happy they are assured a return to power. Ontario & Quebec know the discretionary powers given Ottawa will never dared be used against them. So they feel safe in surrendering their democratic rights ~~that are at the very base of a democracy~~; rights as to political imprisonment; rights to a new type of judicial system, and that greatest of all democratic rights the right of any person individual or collective persons ^{those provinces have} in a province to own property ^{with} the security that ~~it~~ ^{land} could ~~only~~ be never be

Then majority votes save them taken from them ~~because of their own actions in losing it~~. That is why ~~Canada~~ Central Canada doesn't give a fig for our loss of democratic rights they are going for the financial gains only, knowing these discretionary ~~legislative~~ powers will not be used against them. If they ever are by vote ^{Ontario + Quebec} they can displace the government in Ottawa at any time. ~~besides~~ ^{also} they have already in the constitution a provisions by which they can legally amend that constitution we do not.

With the loss of any form of regional protection that was once provided for Canadians under the BNA act even our elected Premiers will be powerless to act on our behalf. The constitution provides for provincial conferences but the premiers can only confer, they can not act.

~~We~~ In the United states their constitution guaranteed regional protection. They elect from each state an equal number of senators each election. No changes

can be made in their constitution
their trade agreements or their tariff
rates - in fact in any vital matter
unless the president can obtain a
~~2~~ two third consent vote for any
law he & his parliament or congress
wish to pass.

By our ^{new} constitution our provincial
premiers, the only regional protection
we once had, will go with the
disappearance of the BNA act. There
is no provision at all for ^{regional representation} in
the new substituted constitution.

Before each election Ottawa will
"equalize opportunities" out of the
West to assure the votes necessary
to elect them from Ontario & Quebec.
They did it in the last election
now it will be forever not only
possible but will be an entrenched
legal right they to Ottawa has
& we will have neither the votes
nor now the ^{legal} ~~democratic~~ right
to prevent it.

At present our only hope to
stop this disastrous change
in our present democratic form
of law & government lays in
the courts & in England's refusal

to ~~change~~ impose this constitution on us in their parliament, not Canada's. The changes have not come from the voters. It's only a little over a year since we elected Trudeau & at that time there was not even a murmur of about a new constitution. Now Trudeau says he will not allow go to election on this issue. The mandate for this total change in government has come only from a political party, a party really only put into power by two provinces votes Ontario & Quebec. Nor did the government get a mandate from our regional representatives the ~~provincial~~ provinces premiers. They continually in provincial conferences turned Trudeau down so he just ceased consulting them & has gone over their authority. That is why now 7 provinces are suing him and I have gone on record as opposed to the constitution.

The only mandate Trudeau has to deprive this country of its most basic democratic rights & change ~~it~~ our ^{country's} government into a form of government into a

~~powerful~~ centralized state where
all power & control lies in Ottawa
is ^{merely} by the vote of the at one election
of ~~the~~ the two ^{eastern} ~~central~~ provinces that
~~compose it~~

Our only hope for Canada's future as
a democratic whole country lies in
the courts and in England's refusal
to just pass this constitution for
Friedau. The supreme Court of
Canada may still declare it
Ultra Vires. I have always had
great faith in the courts of
justice. In the past it is there
our democratic rights have been
preserved. It is to them the individuals
in a democracy can look to for
protection. That is why progress
in democracies is possible in a legal
proper way. Revolutions and violence
& dissension is unnecessary because
we have a great judicial system.

If the appeal to the courts for
democratic justice fails then our
only other hope is that England
will refuse to pass this kind of
a constitution as a mere amend-
ment to the BNA act. ~~Lord~~ Sir
Anthony Kerslaw & his committee

of twelve able persons after an exhaustive study that is over a hundred pages long has stated he personally can not support the passing of this constitution & making it law in England. But England is another country ^{where} our influence as western Canadians is difficult to enforce ~~there~~. Westerners are personally carrying petitions over it signed by thousands of persons in Western Canada. Organizations called improperly ^{by Fudrau} specialists like West-fed have organized massive letters & prepared material that they have arranged to deliver by courier to the English parliament. The ~~dom~~ federal government's mail service has conveniently been reduced to a snails pace.

The real and possibly only hope of stopping the passage of this constitution, now that the voter has been denied a voice & even the opposition to parliament a voice by once a closure & now a newly threatened one, lies in the strength & determination of our four Western Premiers.

It is to them we must turn as our champions of democracy & of the West's economical future.

Fortunately they have all been very strong in our defense. They are fighting in the courts & in every way they can to preserve democratic rights for Canadians and ^{continued} financial freedom for Western Canadians.

We are indeed blessed that at this time we have strong men leading the West, men who can put politics aside to fight for all the people who live in the west. We must support them in their fight. They are the ones who can carry properly & legally our objections to England. We as westerners must now assure them of our support. While your members, provincial members but above all thank your strong Premiers & assure them of your complete support in their fight to stop the constitution.

They are our gladiators fighting for our rights ~~in the~~ They have to fight for them in an arena a ~~new~~ ^{Nero} type "su emperor" has created with the backing of two provinces of Canada who are

provinces who are not concerned
with ^{stand in no danger of losing} ~~losing~~ democratic rights but
only for immediate gains for
themselves.

Ruth Gorman.

wewewere

2009.004/504